

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/837,719 04/18/2001 Gary Stephen Sh		Gary Stephen Shuster	409475-29	9122
			EXAM	EXAMINER
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 So. Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899			FISCHER, ANDREW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eds Alligeres, C	20071 2007		3627	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(7
	Q

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/837,719**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Gary Stephen Shuster

Art Unit



		Andrew J. Fischer	3627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corre	spondence address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE						
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/arc	e pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/aı	e withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-18</u>	are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square object	ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	olesky vada 25 H C C - \$ 110/a) (4) == (5)			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper				
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)			
3) ∐ lm	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09837,719

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:
 - I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of transacting, classified in class 705, subclass20.
 - II. Claims 14-18, drawn to a system, classified in class 705, subclass 34.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process—one that does not require debiting a traffic generator. Alternatively, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice searching the Internet.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09837,719 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Election of Species

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Represented by Figure 1;

Species B: Represented by Figure 2.

- 5. Applicant is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include both a chosen invention (Invention I or II) and an identification of the elected species and consonant with this requirement, a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added in response to this Office Action or in any future amendment. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. §1.141. If claims are added after the election either in response to this Office Action or in any future amendment, Applicant must indicate which newly added claims are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09837,719 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

8. Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,
Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species
to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the
examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission
may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. A telephone call was made to Brian M. Berliner on or about October 7, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include both an election of the chosen invention (I or II) to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143) in addition to the election of species (A or B).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew J. Fischer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0292.

Dresche 10/18/03

Andrew J. Fischer

Patent Examiner